

A CERTAIN p -ADIC SPECTRAL THEOREM

01/13/07

R. L. Baker
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242

A CERTAIN p -ADIC SPECTRAL THEOREM

R. L. Baker
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242

ABSTRACT. We extend a p -adic spectral theorem of M. M. Vishik to a certain class of p -adic Banach algebras. This class includes inductive limits of finite-dimensional p -Banach algebras of the form $B(\mathcal{X})$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is a p -adic Banach space of the form $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, J being a finite nonempty set. In particular, we present a p -adic spectral theorem for p -adic UHF algebras and p -adic TUHF algebras (Triangular UHF Algebras).

Key words: p -adic Banach algebras, p -adic UHF algebras, p -adic spectral theorem, p -adic Triangular UHF Algebras.

MSC2000: 12J99, 46L99, 46S10.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the article, *On certain Banach Limits of Triangular Matrix Algebras* ([B1]) the author of the present paper proved, using purely Banach-algebraic techniques, that the supernatural number associated to an arbitrary **triangular UHF (TUHF)** Banach algebra over the complex number field $\mathbf{C}$ is an invariant of the algebra, provided that the algebra satisfies certain “local dimensionality conditions.” The proof, although relying only on classical complex Banach algebra techniques, makes essential use of the classical spectral theorem (the Riesz functional calculus) for complex Banach algebras. For any prime number p , let Ω_p be the p -adic counterpart of $\mathbf{C}$. Replacing $\mathbf{C}$ by Ω_p in the definition of complex TUHF Banach algebras, we obtain the definition of p -adic TUHF algebras. The results in [B1] for complex TUHF algebras can be duplicated for p -adic TUHF algebras, provided that a sufficiently general p -adic version of the Riesz functional calculus can be developed for p -adic Banach algebras ([B2]). The purpose to the present paper is to prove a p -version of the classical spectral theorem for complex Banach algebras and to apply this version to p -adic TUHF algebras. The p -adic spectral theorem that we develop is an extension of a certain p -adic spectral theorem of M. M. Vishik ([K], p. 149).

In the present section of the paper we put forth the preliminary material on p -adic analysis and p -adic Banach algebras that is necessary for proving an extended version of Vishik’s Spectral Theorem (Theorem 1.18). Then in Section 2 we prove the extended version of Vishik’s Spectral Theorem (Theorem 2.10). Finally, in Section 3, we show that this extended spectral theorem provides a **Spectral Theorem for p -adic TUHF and UHF Algebras**, which is Theorem 3.3.

Let p be a prime number, and let $\mathbf{Q}$ be the field of rational numbers. Let $|\cdot|_p$ be the function defined on $\mathbf{Q}$ by

$$\left| \frac{a}{b} \right|_p = p^{\text{ord}_p b - \text{ord}_p a}, \quad |0|_p = 0.$$

Here ord_p of a non-negative integer is the highest power of p dividing the integer. Then $|\cdot|_p$ is a norm on $\mathbf{Q}$. The field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is defined to be the completion of $\mathbf{Q}$ under the norm $|\cdot|_p$. Unlike the case of the real numbers $\mathbf{R}$, whose algebraic closure $\mathbf{C}$ is only a quadratic extension of $\mathbf{R}$, the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ has infinite degree over $\mathbf{Q}_p$. However, the norm $|\cdot|_p$ on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ can be extended to a norm $|\cdot|_p$ on $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. But it turns out that $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is not complete under this extended norm. Thus, in order to do analysis, we must take a larger field than $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. We denote the completion of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ under the norm $|\cdot|_p$ by Ω_p , that is,

$$\Omega_p = \overline{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p}^\wedge,$$

where $^\wedge$ means completion with respect to $|\cdot|_p$. (Note: The symbol “ $\mathbf{C}_p$ ” is sometimes used to denote Ω_p (see [K]: p. 13).

1.1 Definition. Let $r \geq 0$ be an non-negative real number, and let $a \in \Omega_p$ and let $\sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$. We have the following definitions.

$$\begin{aligned} D_a(r) &= \{x \in \Omega_p \mid |x - a|_p \leq r\}; \\ D_a(r^-) &= \{x \in \Omega_p \mid |x - a|_p < r\}; \\ D_\sigma(r) &= \{x \in \Omega_p \mid \text{dist}(x, \sigma) \leq r\}; \\ D_\sigma(r^-) &= \{x \in \Omega_p \mid \text{dist}(x, \sigma) < r\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $b \in D_a(r)$, then $D_b(r) = D_a(r)$, and if $b \in D_a(r^-)$, then $D_b(r^-) = D_a(r^-)$. Thus, any point in a disc is its center. Hence $D_a(r), D_a(r^-)$ are both open and closed in the topological sense.

1.2 Lemma. Let $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ be compact. Then for any $s > 0$ there exists $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ and $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \sigma$ such that $r < s$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(r) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r); \\ \sigma &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \end{aligned}$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint.

Proof. Since σ is compact, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \sigma$ such that

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(s^-), \tag{1}$$

where the union is disjoint. Now, for each $1 \leq i \leq N$, $D_{a_i}(s^-)$ is closed, and hence $\sigma \cap D_{a_i}(s^-)$ is compact. Therefore there exists $b_1, \dots, b_N \in \sigma$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$|b_i - a_i|_p = \sup\{|x - a_i|_p : x \in \sigma \cap D_{a_i}(s^-)\}.$$

Because each $b_i \in D_{a_i}(s^-)$, we have, for $1 \leq i \leq N$, $s > |b_i - a_i|_p$. Now select any $r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ such that $r > 0$ and

$$s > r > |b_1 - a_1|_p, \dots, |b_N - a_N|_p.$$

We claim that

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \tag{2}$$

where $D_{a_1}(r), \dots, D_{a_N}(r)$ are disjoint. To prove the claim, let $a \in \sigma$; then by (2), $a \in D_{a_i}(r^-)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N$, and hence $a \in \sigma \cap D_{a_i}(s^-)$, which implies that

$$|a - a_i|_p \leq \sup\{|x - a_i|_p : x \in \sigma \cap D_{a_i}(s^-)\} = |b_i - a_i|_p < r.$$

This shows that $a \in D_{a_i}(r^-)$, which proves (2). Now let $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, with $i \neq j$. Suppose that $D_{a_i}(r) \cap D_{a_j}(r) \neq \emptyset$, and let $a \in D_{a_i}(r) \cap D_{a_j}(r)$. Then we have

$$|a_i - a_j|_p \leq \max\{|a_i - a|_p, |a - a_j|_p\} \leq r < s,$$

hence $a_i \in D_{a_i}(s^-) \cap D_{a_j}(s^-) = \emptyset$. This contradiction proves the claim. We now claim that

$$D_\sigma(r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r). \quad (3)$$

It is clear that $\bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r) \subseteq D_\sigma(r)$. Let $x \in D_\sigma(r)$, then because σ is compact, there exists $a \in \sigma$ such that $r \geq \text{dist}(x, \sigma) = |x - a|_p$. Hence, $x \in D_a(r)$. Now, $a \in \sigma$, so by (2), there exists $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that $a \in D_{a_j}(r^-) \subseteq D_{a_j}(r)$. Therefore, $D_a(r) = D_{a_j}(r)$, which shows that $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r)$. This proves (3). ■

1.3 Lemma. Let $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$. Let $r > 0$ and let $b_1, \dots, b_M$ be in Ω_p , with

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(r^-), \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{b_i}(r)$ are disjoint. Then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in σ and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}$ such that the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint and

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(r^-) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{b_i}(r^-); \\ D_\sigma(r) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{b_i}(r). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}$ be the subset of $\{1, \dots, M\}$ consisting of those $1 \leq i \leq M$ for which $\sigma \cap D_{b_i}(r^-) \neq \emptyset$. Write

$$I = \{k_1, \dots, k_N\}.$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq N$, select an $a_i \in \sigma \cap D_{b_{k_i}}(r^-)$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have $D_{a_i}(r^-) = D_{b_{k_i}}(r^-)$, and hence, by (1),

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{b_i}(r^-). \quad (3)$$

The assumption that the $D_{b_i}(r)$ are disjoint easily implies that the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(r^-) &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-); \\ D_\sigma(r) &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

To prove (4) let $x \in D_\sigma(r^-)$. Then, by definition, $\text{dist}(x, \sigma) < r$, hence there exists $a \in \sigma$ such that $|x - a|_p < r$. Then (3) implies that there exist $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that $|a - a_j|_p < r$. Therefore, we have

$$|x - a_j|_p = |(x - a) + (a - a_j)|_p \leq \max\{|x - a|_p, |a - a_j|_p\} < r.$$

Thus, $x \in D_{a_j}(r^-)$. This proves the first part (4). To prove the second part of (4), suppose that there exists $x \in D_\sigma(r)$ with $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r)$. Then $|x - a_i|_p > r$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, hence we can find $0 < r < s$ such that $|x - a_i|_p > s$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Now let $a \in \sigma$ be arbitrary, then by (3), $|a - a_i|_p < r$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N$. We then have

$$|x - a|_p = |(x - a_i) + (a_i - a)|_p = |x - a_i|_p > s. \tag{5}$$

In (5) we have used the easily proved fact that for $y, z \in \Omega_p$, if $|y|_p > |z|_p$, then $|y + z|_p = |y|_p$ (see [BBN]: Theorem 2, p. 5). But $a \in \sigma$ is arbitrary, hence (5) implies that $\text{dist}(x, \sigma) \geq s > r$, which contradicts $x \in D_\sigma(r^-)$. This proves the second part of (4). It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-) &\subseteq D_\sigma(r^-); \\ \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r) &\subseteq D_\sigma(r). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Hence by (4) and (6), we see that (2) holds. ■

1.4 Definition. Let $a \in \Omega_p$, and let $r > 0$. A function $f : D_a(r) \rightarrow \Omega_p$ is said to be **Krasner analytic** on $D_a(r)$ iff f can be represented by a power series on $D_a(r)$ of the form $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(x - a)^k$, where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r^k |c_k|_p = 0$

1.5 Definition. Let $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$, and let $r > 0$. Define $B_r(\sigma)$ to be the set of all functions

$$f : D_\sigma(r) \rightarrow \Omega_p$$

such that f is Krasner analytic on $D_a(r)$, whenever $a \in \Omega_p$ and $D_a(r) \subseteq D_\sigma(r)$. If f is bounded on $D_\sigma(r)$, we write $\|f\|_r = \max_{x \in D_\sigma(r)} |f(x)|_p$.

1.6 Definition. Let $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$. Define $L(\sigma)$ to be the set of all Ω_p -valued functions f for which there exist $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in Ω_p and $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ such that

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-),$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint and f is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$. $L(\sigma)$ is called the set of **locally analytic functions** on σ

1.7 Lemma. Let $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ be compact. Then

$$L(\sigma) = \bigcup_{r>0} B_r(\sigma). \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let $f \in L(\sigma)$. Then by Definition 1.6 there exist $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in Ω_p and $0 < s \in |\Omega_p|_p$ such that

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(s^-), \quad (2)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(s)$ are disjoint and f is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(s)$. Then by (2) and Lemma 1.3, there exists $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$D_\sigma(r) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{a_i}(s). \quad (3)$$

Let $b \in \Omega_p$, with $D_b(s) \subseteq D_\sigma(s)$. Then by (3), there exists $i \in I$ such that $b \in D_{a_i}(s)$, and consequently, $D_b(s) = D_{a_i}(s)$. Because f is Krasner analytic on $D_{a_i}(s)$, we see that f is Krasner analytic on $D_b(s)$. This shows that $f \in B_s(\sigma)$. Because $f \in L(\sigma)$ is arbitrary, we get

$$L(\sigma) \subseteq \bigcup_{r>0} B_r(\sigma). \quad (4)$$

Now let $f \in \bigcup_{r>0} B_r(\sigma)$, say, $f \in B_s(\sigma)$, $s > 0$. By Lemma 1.3 there exists $b_1, \dots, b_M$ in Ω_p such that

$$\sigma \subseteq D_\sigma(s) = \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(s). \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 1.2 there exist $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in σ and $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ such that $r < s$, the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint, and

$$D_\sigma(r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r), \quad \sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-). \quad (6)$$

Let $1 \leq i \leq N$ be arbitrary. Because $a_i \in \sigma$, (5) implies that there exists $1 \leq j \leq M$ such that $a_i \in D_{b_j}(s)$, which in turn implies that $D_{a_i}(s) = D_{b_j}(s)$. Now, $f \in B_s(\sigma)$, therefore f is Krasner analytic on $D_{b_j}(s) = D_{a_i}(s)$. Hence, by Definition 1.6., f can be represented by a power series on $D_{a_i}(s)$ of the form $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(x - a_i)^k$, where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s^k |c_k|_p = 0$. Since $r < s$, we have $D_{a_i}(r) \subseteq D_{a_i}(s)$, and hence, for $x \in D_{a_i}(r)$, we have $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(x - a_i)^k$, with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r^k |c_k|_p = 0$. This shows that f is Krasner analytic on $D_{a_i}(r)$. This proves that

$$\bigcup_{r>0} B_r(\sigma) \subseteq L(\sigma). \quad (7)$$

Statements (4) and (7) together imply (1). ■

In [K], p. 136, Koblitz defines the *locally analytic functions* on $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ compact to be $L(\sigma) = \bigcup_{r>0} B_r(\sigma)$. Thus, Lemma 1.7 shows that Definition 1.6 generalizes Koblitz's locally analytic functions on σ to the case where $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ is not necessarily compact.

1.8 Definition (The Shnirelman Integral). Let $r > 0$, with $r \in |\Omega_p|_p$. Let $a \in \Omega_p$, and let f be an Ω_p -valued function whose domain contains all $x \in \Omega_p$ such that $|x - a|_p = r$. Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Then the **Shnirelman integral** of f over the circle

$$\{x \in \Omega_p : |x - a|_p = r\}$$

is defined to be the following limit, provided the limit exists.

$$\int_{a, \Gamma} f(x) dx = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n = 1} f(a + \xi \Gamma).$$

1.9 Definition. For $\sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ be compact, let $H_0(\overline{\sigma})$ denote the set of functions $\varphi : \overline{\sigma} \rightarrow \Omega_p$ which are **Krasner analytic** on $\overline{\sigma}$, i.e.,

(1) φ is the limit of rational functions whose poles are contained in σ , the limit being uniform in any set of the form

$$\overline{D}_\sigma(r) = \{z \in \Omega_p \mid \text{dist}(z, \sigma) \geq r\}, \quad \sigma \subseteq D_\sigma(r^-), r > 0.$$

(2) $\lim_{|z|_p \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z) = 0$.

1.10 Lemma. Let $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ be compact. Let $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$, and let Γ_1, Γ_2 be in Ω_p , with $|\Gamma_1|_p = r_1$, $|\Gamma_2|_p = r_2$. Assume that

$$a_1, \dots, a_M; b_1, \dots, b_N \in \Omega_p$$

are given, with

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(r_1) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{a_i}(r_1), \quad \sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{a_i}(r_1^-); \\ D_\sigma(r_2) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{b_i}(r_2), \quad \sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{b_i}(r_2^-), \end{aligned}$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r_1)$ and the $D_{b_i}(r_2)$ are disjoint. Then for $\phi \in H_0(\overline{\sigma})$ and $f \in B_{r_2}(\sigma)$, we have $f \in B_{r_1}(\sigma)$, and the following sums exist and are equal.

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)\varphi(x) dx.$$

Proof. See [K]:Lemma 8, p. 138.

1.11 Lemma. Let $a \in \Omega_p$, and let $0 < r$. Let $f : D_a(r) \rightarrow \Omega_p$ is Krasner analytic on $D_a(r)$. Let (c_k) in Ω_p be such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r^k |c_k|_p = 0$ and all $x \in D_a(r)$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k (x - a)^k.$$

We define $\|f\|_r = \max_k r^k |c_k|_p$, then $\max_{x \in D_a(r)} |f(x)|_p$ is attained when $|x - a|_p = r$ and equals $\|f\|_r$.

Proof. See [K]: Lemma 3, p. 130.

1.12 Lemma. Let $\emptyset \neq \sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ be compact. Let $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ and let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(r) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(r); \\ \sigma &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(r^-), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $b_1, \dots, b_M \in \sigma$ and the $D_{b_i}(r)$ are disjoint. Let $\varphi \in H_0(\overline{\sigma})$. Suppose that $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \Omega_p$, with

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \tag{2}$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint. Finally, assume that f is Krasner analytic on each of the $D_{a_i}(r)$. Then $f \in B_r(\sigma)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{b_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - b_i)\varphi(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi(x) dx.$$

Proof. By (2) and Lemma 1.4, there exists $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$D_\sigma(r^-) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{a_i}(r^-), \quad D_\sigma(r) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{a_i}(r). \quad (3)$$

To show that $f \in B_\sigma(r)$, let $b \in \Omega_p$, with $D_b(r) \subseteq D_\sigma(r)$. Then (3) implies that there exists $i \in I$ such that $b \in D_{a_i}(r)$, and hence $D_b(r) = D_{a_i}(r)$; because f is Krasner analytic on $D_{a_i}(r)$, we see that f is Krasner analytic on $D_b(r)$. This proves that $f \in B_\sigma(r)$. Now, by (1), (3) and Lemma 1.10 we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{b_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - b_i)\varphi(x) dx = \sum_{i \in I} \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi(x) dx. \quad (4)$$

Now let $1 \leq j \leq N$, with $j \notin I$. We claim that $D_{a_j}(r) \subseteq \bar{\sigma}$. For suppose that $b \in \sigma$, with $b \in D_{a_j}(r)$. Then because $\sigma \subseteq D_\sigma(r)$, (3) implies that $b \in D_{a_i}(r)$ for some $i \in I$. We have $i \neq j$ and $b \in D_{a_i}(r) \cap D_{a_j}(r)$. This contradicts the assumption that $D_{a_i}(r)$ and $D_{a_j}(r)$ are disjoint when $i \neq j$. This proves the claim. By assumption, $\varphi \in H_0(\bar{\sigma})$, and since $f \in B_\sigma(r)$, we see that $f(x)(x - a_j)\varphi(x)$ is Krasner analytic on $D_{a_j}(r)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that $j \notin I$. It follows from the p -adic Cauchy integral formula ([K]: Lemma 4, p. 131) that for $j \notin I$, $\int_{a_j, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_j)\varphi(x) dx = 0$. Therefore, (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{b_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - b_i)\varphi(x) dx &= \sum_{i \in I} \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi(x) dx + \\ &\quad \sum_{i \notin I} \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\blacksquare$

1.13 Definition. A p -adic Banach space over Ω_p is a vector space $\mathcal{X}$ over Ω_p together with a norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ from $\mathcal{X}$ to the nonnegative real numbers such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$: (a) $\|x\|_p = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$; (b) $\|x + y\|_p \leq \max\{\|x\|_p, \|y\|_p\}$; (c) $\|ax\|_p = |a|_p \|x\|_p$; (d) $\mathcal{X}$ is complete under $\|\cdot\|_p$. We shall assume that $\|\mathcal{X}\|_p = |\Omega_p|_p$, i.e., for every $x \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{X}$ there exists $a \in \Omega_p$ such that $\|ax\|_p = 1$. The **dual** $\mathcal{X}^*$ of a p -adic Banach space over Ω_p is defined in the usual way. A p -adic Banach algebra over Ω_p is a p -adic Banach space $\mathcal{A}$ over Ω_p such that for $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\|xy\|_p \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_p$. We shall assume that $\mathcal{A}$ has a unit. For $x \in \mathcal{A}$, the **spectrum** σ_x of x has the usual meaning, and the **resolvent** of x is defined by $R(z; x) = (z - x)^{-1}$, $z \notin \sigma_x$. If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are p -adic Banach spaces over Ω_p , then $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is the vector space of Ω_p -linear continuous maps

form $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a p -adic Banach space under the usual operator norm, and $B(\mathcal{X}) = B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is a p -adic Banach algebra under this operator norm.

1.14 Definition. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a p -adic Banach space over Ω_p . An operator $A \in B(\mathcal{X})$, with compact spectrum σ_A , is called **analytic** if the resolvent $R(z; A)$ is **Krasner analytic** on $\overline{\sigma}_A$, in the sense that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the function $z \mapsto h(R(z; A)x)$ is in $H_0(\overline{\sigma}_A)$.

1.15 Definition. Let J be any nonempty indexing set, and define $\Omega_p(J)$ to be the set of all sequences $c = (c_j)_{j \in J}$ in Ω_p such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ only finitely many $|c_j|_p$ are $> \epsilon$. Define $\|c\|_p = \max_j |c_j|_p$. Then $\Omega_p(J)$ is a p -adic Banach space over Ω_p .

1.16 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, with $J \neq \emptyset$. Let $\sigma \subseteq \Omega_p$ be compact. Let $F : \overline{\sigma} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$ be an analytic operator-valued function, i.e., for all $y \in \mathcal{X}$, $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$ the Ω_p -valued function

$$F_{h,y}(x) = h(F(x)y), \quad x \in \overline{\sigma}$$

belongs to $H_0(\overline{\sigma})$. Let $a \in \Omega_p$, and $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$. Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that there is no $b \in \sigma$ such that $|b - a|_p = r$. Finally, let $f : D_a(r) \rightarrow \Omega_p$ be Krasner analytic on $D_a(r)$. Define

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(a + \xi\Gamma)F(a + \xi\Gamma), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then the limit

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} S_n$$

exists as an operator in $B(\mathcal{X})$. We define $\int_{a,\Gamma} f(x)F(x) dx$ to be this operator. Moreover, for any $y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$, we have

$$h\left(\left(\int_{a,\Gamma} f(x)F(x) dx\right)(y)\right) = \int_{a,\Gamma} f(x)F_{h,y}(x) dx.$$

In particular, assume that an analytic operator $A \in B(\mathcal{X})$ has compact spectrum σ_A . Then by definition, the resolvent $R(x; A) = (x - A)^{-1}$ is Krasner analytic on $\overline{\sigma}_A$ and hence the integral

$$\int_{a,\Gamma} f(x)(x - a)R(x; A) dx = \int_{a,\Gamma} f(x)(x - a)R(x; A) dx$$

exists, such that for all $y \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$, if $R_{h,y}(x; A) = h(R(x; A)y)$, then

$$h\left(\left(\int_{a,\Gamma} f(x)(x - a)R(x; A) dx\right)(y)\right) = h\left(\left(\int_{a,\Gamma} f(x)(x - a)R_{h,y}(x; A) dx\right)(y)\right).$$

Proof. See [K]: Lemma 3, p. 149.

1.17 Definition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra over Ω_p . Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have spectrum $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. Define $\mathcal{F}(A) = L(\sigma_A)$.

1.18 Spectral Theorem I (Vishik). Let $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, where J is a nonempty indexing set. Let $A \in B(\mathcal{X})$ have compact spectrum $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$, and assume that A is analytic. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ be such that $f \in B_r(\sigma_A)$, where

$$D_{\sigma_A}(r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r), \quad \sigma_A \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-).$$

Here the union is assumed to be disjoint and each $a_i \in \sigma_A$. Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Define

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_p$. Then

- (1) $(\alpha f + \beta g)(A) = \alpha f(A) + \beta g(A)$;
- (2) $(f \cdot g)(A) = f(A)g(A)$;
- (3) The mapping $f \mapsto f(A)$ is continuous on $B_r(\sigma_A)$.

Proof. See [K]: Spectral Theorem, p. 149.

1.19 Definition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a p -adic Banach space. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have compact spectrum σ_A . Then we say that A is $\mathcal{X}$ -**analytic** iff there exists a continuous Ω_p -monomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\theta^{-1} : \theta(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is continuous and $\theta(A)$ is analytic in $B(\mathcal{X})$. Such a monomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be an **embedding** of $\mathcal{A}$ into $B(\mathcal{X})$. Let $B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then B is $\mathcal{A}$ -**analytic** iff there exists a sequence (B_k) in $\mathcal{A}$ and a sequence $(\mathcal{X}_k)$ of p -adic Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_k$ of the form $\mathcal{X}_k \simeq \Omega_p(J_k)$, with $J_k \neq \emptyset$, such that $\sigma_{B_k} \neq \emptyset$ is compact, B_k is $\mathcal{X}_k$ -analytic and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B - B_k\|_p = 0$.

1.20 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ be as in Definition 1.19, with $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, where J is a nonempty indexing set. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have compact spectrum $\emptyset \neq \sigma_A$, and assume that A is $\mathcal{X}$ -analytic. Let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$ be an embedding of $\mathcal{A}$ into $B(\mathcal{X})$. Let $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ and $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that $f \in B_{\sigma_A}(r)$ and

$$\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-),$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{-1}[f(\theta(A))] &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\xi \in \Omega_p$, we define $x_\xi^i = a_i + \xi\Gamma$. Moreover, the limit in (1) is independent of the $\Gamma, r, a_1, \dots, a_N$. Hence we may define $f(A)$ by $f(A) = \theta^{-1}[f(\theta(A))]$, and this definition will not depend on θ .

Proof. We have $\sigma_{\theta(A)} = \sigma_A$, hence by Theorem 1.18 (Vishik's Spectral Theorem I), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{-1}[f(\theta(A))] &= \theta^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx \right] \\ &= \theta^{-1} \left[\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; \theta(A)) \right] \\ &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)\theta^{-1}[R(x_\xi^i; \theta(A))] \\ &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Vishik's Spectral Theorem I, the representation

$$f(\theta(A)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; \theta(A)) dx$$

does not depend on $\Gamma, r, a_1, \dots, a_N$. $\blacksquare$

1.21 Spectral Theorem II (Vishik). Let $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, where J is a nonempty indexing set. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra, and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have compact spectrum $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$, and assume that A is $\mathcal{X}$ -analytic. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$ be such that $f \in B_r(\sigma_A)$, where

$$D_{\sigma_A}(r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r), \quad \sigma_A \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-).$$

Here the union is assumed to be disjoint and each $a_i \in \sigma_A$. Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Define

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_p$. Then

- (1) $(\alpha f + \beta g)(A) = \alpha f(A) + \beta g(A)$;
- (2) $(f \cdot g)(A) = f(A)g(A)$;
- (3) The mapping $f \mapsto f(A)$ is continuous $B_r(\sigma_A)$.

Proof. Let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$ be an embedding of $\mathcal{A}$ into $B(\mathcal{X})$, where $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, $J \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\theta(A)$ is $\mathcal{X}$ -analytic. Then Theorem 1.18 applies to $\theta(A)$, and hence Theorem 1.21 follows from Lemma 1.20 and the fact that for $f \in B_{\sigma_A}(r)$, $f(A) = \theta^{-1}[f(\theta(A))]$. ■

2. EXTENDED p -ADIC SPECTRAL THEORY

2.1 Theorem (Perturbation Theory). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ spectrum σ_A . Let $\epsilon > 0$ be any positive real number. Then there exists a constant $N_\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\|R(x; A)\|_p \leq N_\epsilon$$

for all $x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-)$. Moreover, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$, then

$$\sigma_B \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-),$$

and

$$\|R(x; A) - R(x; B)\|_p < \epsilon \text{ for all } x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-).$$

Proof. See [DS]: Lemma 3, p. 585. The proof presented in [DS] works for p -adic Banach algebras, and the proof does not assume that σ_A is compact, nor does it assume that $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. ■

Remark. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra, and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have spectrum σ_A . If A is analytic, then $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. To see this, let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, then by Lemma 2.1, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$, then*

$$\sigma_B \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-). \tag{*}$$

Because $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A - A_k\|_p = 0$, there exists a k such that $\|A - A_k\|_p < \delta$. Then by (), we have*

$$\emptyset \neq \sigma_{A_k} \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-).$$

Hence, $D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-) \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$.

2.2 Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra, and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have compact spectrum $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a p -Banach space such that $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, with $J \neq \emptyset$, and suppose*

that A be $\mathcal{X}$ -analytic with spectrum σ_A . Let $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$, and let Γ_1, Γ_2 be in Ω_p , with $|\Gamma_1|_p = r_1$, $|\Gamma_2|_p = r_2$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(r_1) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{a_i}(r_1), & \sigma &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{a_i}(r_1^-); \\ D_\sigma(r_2) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{b_i}(r_2), & \sigma &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{b_i}(r_2^-), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r_1), D_{b_i}(r_2)$ are disjoint. If $f \in B_{r_2}(\sigma_A)$, then $f \in B_{r_1}(\sigma_A)$, and the following sums exist and are equal.

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A) dx. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$ be an embedding of $\mathcal{A}$ into $B(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\theta(A)$ is analytic. Let $y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$. Then the function $\varphi_{h,y}(x) = h(R(x; \theta(A))y)$ is in $H_0(\overline{\sigma}_A)$. Let $f \in B_{r_2}(\sigma_A)$, then it is clear that $f \in B_{r_1}(\sigma_A)$. Then by Lemma 1.10, (1) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)\varphi_{h,y}(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)\varphi_{h,y}(x) dx. \quad (3)$$

Because $y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$ are arbitrary, by Lemma 1.16, (3) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; \theta(A)) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; \theta(A)) dx. \quad (4)$$

Because θ is bicontinuous, (4) implies (2). ■

2.3 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra, and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have compact spectrum $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a p -Banach space such that $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, with $J \neq \emptyset$, and suppose that A be $\mathcal{X}$ -analytic. Let $r > 0$ and let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that

$$D_{\sigma_A}(r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(r), \quad \sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(r^-),$$

where $b_1, \dots, b_M$ are in Ω_p and the $D_{b_i}(r)$ are disjoint. Finally, suppose that

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_N$ are in Ω_p and the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint. If f is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$, then we have $f \in B_r(\sigma_A)$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{b_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.12, the proof of Lemma 2.3 is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2. ■

2.4 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic. Let (A_k) be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{X}_k)$ a sequence of p -adic Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_k$ of the form $\mathcal{X}_k \simeq \Omega_p(J_k)$, with $J_k \neq \emptyset$, such that $\sigma_{A_k} \neq \emptyset$ is compact, A_k is $\mathcal{X}_k$ -analytic and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A - A_k\|_p = 0$ (see Definition 1.19). Let A have spectrum σ_A . Let $r > 0$, with $r \in |\Omega_p|_p$, and let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \quad (1)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \Omega_p$ and the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint. Assume that for each k there exists $a_{k,1}, \dots, a_{k,N_k}$ in σ_{A_k} such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_k} D_{a_{k,i}}(r); \\ \sigma_{A_k} &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_k} D_{a_{k,i}}(r^-), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the $D_{a_{k,i}}(r)$ are disjoint. Then $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if f is Krasner analytic on the $D_{a_i}(r)$, then the following limits exist and are equal.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \int_{a_{k,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{k,i})R(x; A_k) dx.$$

Proof. Because f is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$, Lemma 1.11 implies that for each $1 \leq i \leq N$, $\max_{x \in D_{a_i}(r)} |f(x)|_p$ is attained when $|x - a_i|_p = r$, and hence we may write

$$\rho_i = \max_{x \in D_{a_i}(r)} |f(x)|_p = \max_{|x - a_i|_p = r} |f(x)|_p.$$

Now let $0 < \epsilon < r$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$, then

$$\sigma_B \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-), \quad (3)$$

and

$$\|R(x; A) - R(x; B)\|_p < \frac{\epsilon}{r(1 + \max_j \rho_j)}, \quad x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-).$$

Now let k_0 be so large that $\|A - A_k\|_p < \delta$ for $k \geq k_0$. Then for $k \geq k_0$, we have we have $\sigma_{A_k} \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-) \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-)$. By Lemma 1.3, (1) implies that there exists $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$D_{\sigma_A}(r^-) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{a_i}(r^-) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-). \quad (4)$$

For $k \geq k_0$, (4) gives

$$\sigma_{A_k} \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-),$$

and hence by Lemma 2.3, (1) and (2) imply that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \int_{a_{k,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{k,i})R(x; A_k) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx.$$

Therefore if $k \geq k_0$ we get, with $x_\xi^i = a_i + \xi\Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \int_{a_{k,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{k,i})R(x; A_k) dx - \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \int_{a_{l,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{l,i})R(x; A_l) dx = \quad (5) \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_l) dx = \\ & \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} [f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)(R(x_\xi^i; A_k) - R(x_\xi^i; A))] - \\ & \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} [f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)(R(x_\xi^i; A_l) - R(x_\xi^i; A))]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we claim that for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\xi \in \Omega_p$, with, $|\xi|_p = 1$,

$$x_\xi^i \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-). \quad (6)$$

To prove this claim, suppose that $x_\xi^i \in D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-)$, then $x_\xi^i \in D_{\sigma_A}(r^-)$, hence (4) implies that for some $1 \leq j \leq N$, $x_\xi^i \in D_{a_j}(r^-)$. We must have $i = j$, for if $i \neq j$, then because $|x_\xi^i - a_i|_p = |\xi\Gamma|_p = r$, we would have $x_\xi^i \in D_{a_i}(r) \cap D_{a_j}(r) = \emptyset$. Thus, $i = j$, which implies that $x_\xi^i \in D_{a_i}(r^-)$. But then we have the contradiction that $r = |x_\xi^i - a_i|_p < r$. Thus (6) holds. If $p \nmid n$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $m \geq k_0$, then (3) and (6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)(R(x_\xi^i; A_m) - R(x_\xi^i; A)) \right\|_p \quad (7) \\ & \leq \max_{|x - a_i|_p = r} |f(x)|_p \cdot |\Gamma|_p \cdot \max_{\xi^n=1} \|R(x_\xi^i; A_m) - R(x_\xi^i; A)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (r\rho_i) \left(\frac{\epsilon}{r(1 + \max_j \rho_j)} \right) < \epsilon.$$

Here we have used the fact that $D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-) \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-)$, and hence $x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(r^-)$ implies $x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-)$. Therefore, (5) and (7) imply that for $k, l \geq k_0$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \int_{a_{k,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{k,i})R(x; A_k) dx - \sum_{i=1}^{N_l} \int_{a_{l,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{l,i})R(x; A_l) dx \right\|_p \leq \epsilon.$$

Because $0 < \epsilon < r$ is arbitrary, we see that for $k \geq k_0$ the terms

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \int_{a_{k,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{k,i})R(x; A_k) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx$$

form a Cauchy sequence. Hence the following limits exist and are equal.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \int_{a_{k,i}, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_{k,i})R(x; A_k) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

2.5 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic. Let (A_k) be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{X}_k)$ a sequence of p -adic Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_k$ of the form $\mathcal{X}_k \simeq \Omega_p(J_k)$, with $J_k \neq \emptyset$, such that $\sigma_{A_k} \neq \emptyset$ is compact, A_k is $\mathcal{X}_k$ -analytic and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A - A_k\|_p = 0$. Let A have spectrum σ_A . Let $0 < r_2 \leq r_1$ be in $|\Omega_p|_p$, and let Γ_1, Γ_2 be in Ω_p , with $|\Gamma_1|_p = r_1$, $|\Gamma_2|_p = r_2$. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_M; b_1, \dots, b_N$ in Ω_p are given, with

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{a_i}(r_1^-) \text{ and } \sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{b_i}(r_2^-), \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r_1)$ are disjoint and the $D_{b_i}(r_2)$ are disjoint. Let f be Krasner analytic on the $D_{a_i}(r_1)$ and on the $D_{b_i}(r_2)$. Then the following limits exist and are equal:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A_k) dx.$$

Proof. By (1) and Lemma 2.1, we may assume that for all k ,

$$\sigma_{A_k} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{a_i}(r_1^-) \text{ and } \sigma_{A_k} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{b_i}(r_2^-). \quad (2)$$

Then by Lemma 1.3, (2) implies that for all k there exist $\emptyset \neq I_k, J_k$ such that $I_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}$, $J_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A_k} &\subseteq D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r_1^-) = \bigcup_{i \in I_k} D_{a_i}(r_1^-) \text{ and } D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r_1) = \bigcup_{i \in I_k} D_{a_i}(r_1); \\ \sigma_{A_k} &\subseteq D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r_2^-) = \bigcup_{i \in J_k} D_{b_i}(r_2^-) \text{ and } D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r_2) = \bigcup_{i \in J_k} D_{b_i}(r_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now let k be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.3, (2) and (3) together imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx &= \sum_{i \in I_k} \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx; \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A_k) dx &= \sum_{i \in J_k} \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A_k) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By Lemma 2.2, (2) and (3) together also imply that

$$\sum_{i \in I_k} \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx = \sum_{i \in J_k} \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A_k) dx. \quad (5)$$

Consequently, (4) and (5) together imply that for all k ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A_k) dx. \quad (6)$$

It follows from (1), (3), (6), and Lemma 2.4 that $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$ and that the following limits exist and are equal.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{a_i, \Gamma_1} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_i, \Gamma_2} f(x)(x - b_i)R(x; A_k) dx.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\blacksquare$

2.6 Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic with spectrum σ_A . Let (A_k) be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{X}_k)$ a sequence of p -adic Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_k$ of the form $\mathcal{X}_k \simeq \Omega_p(J_k)$, with $J_k \neq \emptyset$, such that $\sigma_{A_k} \neq \emptyset$ is compact, A_k is $\mathcal{X}_k$ -analytic and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A - A_k\|_p = 0$. Let A have spectrum σ_A . Let $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$, and let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \Omega_p$ are given, with*

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint. Assume that f is Krasner analytic on the $D_{a_i}(r)$. Then the following limits exist and are equal.

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx,$$

where for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\xi \in \Omega_p$, we set $x_\xi^i = a_i + \xi\Gamma$. Therefore the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx$$

exists and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx.$$

Proof. Because f is Krasner analytic on each of the $D_{a_i}(r)$, it follows from Lemma 1.11, for $1 \leq i \leq N$, $\max_{x \in D_{a_i}(r)} |f(x)|_p$ is attained when $|x - a_i|_p = r$, and hence we may write

$$\rho_i = \max_{x \in D_{a_i}(r)} |f(x)|_p = \max_{|x - a_i|_p = r} |f(x)|_p.$$

Now let $0 < \epsilon < r$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$, then

$$\sigma_B \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-),$$

and

$$\|R(x; A) - R(x; B)\|_p < \frac{\epsilon}{r(1 + \max_j \rho_j)}, \quad x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-). \quad (2)$$

Then by Lemma 1.3, (1) implies that for all k there exist $\emptyset \neq I_k$ such that $I_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ and

$$\sigma_{A_k} \subseteq D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r^-) = \bigcup_{i \in I_k} D_{a_i}(r^-) \text{ and } D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r) = \bigcup_{i \in I_k} D_{a_i}(r). \quad (3)$$

By 2.4, (1) and (3) together imply that the following limit exists.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx.$$

Now let k_0 be so large that $\|A - A_{k_0}\|_p < \delta$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_{k_0}) dx - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx \right\|_p < \epsilon. \quad (4)$$

Observe that if $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $|\xi|_p = 1$, then as in statement (6) of Lemma 2.4, by Lemma 1.3 and (1) imply that $x_\xi^i = a_i + \xi\Gamma \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-)$. Now let n_0 be so large that if $n \geq n_0$ and $p \nmid n$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A_{k_0}) - \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_{k_0}) dx \right\} \right\|_p < \epsilon. \quad (5)$$

For $n \geq n_0$ and $p \nmid n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx \quad (6) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i) \{R(x_\xi^i; A) - R(x_\xi^i; A_{k_0})\} + \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A_{k_0}) - \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_{k_0}) dx \right\} + \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_{k_0}) dx - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $n \geq n_0$ and $p \nmid n$, we have (1)-(6) together imply that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx \right\|_p < \epsilon.$$

Because $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this completes the proof of the lemma. $\blacksquare$

2.7 Definition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic. Let (A_k) be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{X}_k)$ a sequence of p -adic Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_k$ of the form $\mathcal{X}_k \simeq \Omega_p(J_k)$, with $J_k \neq \emptyset$, such that $\sigma_{A_k} \neq \emptyset$ is compact, A_k is $\mathcal{X}_k$ -analytic and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A - A_k\|_p = 0$. Let A have spectrum σ_A . Now let $f \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let $0 < r \in |\Omega_p|_p$, and let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \Omega_p$ are given, with

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r), \quad (1)$$

Assume that f is Krasner analytic on the $D_{a_i}(r)$. By Lemma 2.6, (1) implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx.$$

Hence we may define $f(A)$ by

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx.$$

Lemma 2.5 then implies that this definition of $f(A)$ does not depend on $a_1, \dots, a_N, r, \Gamma$.

2.8 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ have spectrum σ_A , and assume that A is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let $0 < r$ be in $|\Omega_p|_p$, and let Γ be in Ω_p , with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in Ω_p are given, with

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint and f is Krasner analytic on the $D_{a_i}(r)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic with $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$, then

$$\sigma_B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r),$$

$f \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and $\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p < \epsilon$.

Proof. Because f is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$, Lemma 1.11 implies that for each $1 \leq i \leq N$, $\max_{x \in D_{a_i}(r)} |f(x)|_p$ is attained when $|x - a_i|_p = r$, and hence we may write

$$\rho_i = \max_{x \in D_{a_i}(r)} |f(x)|_p = \max_{|x - a_i|_p = r} |f(x)|_p.$$

Now let $0 < \epsilon < r$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$, then

$$\sigma_B \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-), \quad (2)$$

and

$$\|R(x; A) - R(x; B)\|_p < \frac{\epsilon}{r(1 + \max_j \rho_j)}, \quad x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-). \quad (3)$$

Now, assume that $B \in \mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic, and that $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$. As in (4) of the proof of Lemma 2.4, we see that (1) implies

$$D_{\sigma_A}(r^-) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-). \quad (4)$$

Then by (2), we have $\sigma_B \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-)$, and hence (4) implies that

$$\sigma_B \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-) \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-). \quad (5)$$

Because B is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic, $\sigma_B \neq \emptyset$, and hence (5) implies that $f \in \mathcal{F}(B)$. Then by (1) and (5), we may use Definition 2.7 to define $f(A)$ and $f(B)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; A); \\ f(B) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; B) dx = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)R(x_\xi^i; B). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$f(A) - f(B) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)[R(x_\xi^i; A) - R(x_\xi^i; B)]. \quad (6)$$

Now, we claim that for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\xi \in \Omega_p$, with, $|\xi|_p = 1$,

$$x_\xi^i \notin D_{\sigma_A}(\epsilon^-). \quad (7)$$

The proof of this claim is similar to the proof of statement (6) in Lemma 2.4. It then follows from (3), (6) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(A) - f(B)\|_p &= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} f(x_\xi^i)(x_\xi^i - a_i)[R(x_\xi^i; A) - R(x_\xi^i; B)] \right\|_p \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ (\rho_i r) \left[\frac{\epsilon}{r(1 + \max_j \rho_j)} \right] \right\} \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

2.9 Lemma. Suppose that $a_1, \dots, a_N; b_1, \dots, b_M$ are in Ω_p , and let $0 < r \leq s$ be positive real numbers such that

$$\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-) \right] \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(s^-) \right] \neq \emptyset.$$

Then there exists $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-) \right] \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(s^-) \right] = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{a_i}(r^-),$$

where for each $i \in I$ there exists a $1 \leq j \leq M$ for which

$$D_{a_i}(r) = D_{a_i}(r) \cap D_{b_j}(s).$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is elementary and will be omitted. ■

2.10 Extended Spectral Theorem. Let $\text{cal } A$ be a p -adic Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic, with spectrum σ_A . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\sigma_A)$. Let $0 < r$ be in $|\Omega_p|_p$, and let Γ be in Ω_p , with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in Ω_p are given, with

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint and f is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$. By Definition 2.7, define

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_p$. Then $\alpha f + \beta g, f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, and

- (2) $(\alpha f + \beta g)(A) = \alpha f(A) + \beta g(A)$;
- (3) $(f \cdot g)(A) = f(A)g(A)$;
- (4) The mapping $h \mapsto h(A)$ is continuous on $B_r(\sigma_A)$.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let $0 < s$ be in $|\Omega_p|_p$, and suppose that $b_1, \dots, b_M$ in Ω_p are such that the $D_{b_i}(s)$ are disjoint, g is Krasner analytic on each $D_{b_i}(s)$, and

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(s^-). \quad (5)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $r \leq s$. Because A is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic, $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by Lemma 2.9, (1) and (5) together imply that there exists $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-) \right] \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^M D_{b_i}(s^-) \right] = \bigcup_{i \in J} D_{a_i}(r^-),$$

where for each $i \in I$ there exists a $1 \leq j \leq M$ for which

$$D_{a_i}(r) = D_{a_i}(r) \cap D_{b_j}(s).$$

Therefore, if $i \in J$, then g is Krasner analytic on $D_{a_i}(r)$. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that g is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$. Finally, by Lemma 1.3 and (1), we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\sigma_A \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-) = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-) \text{ and } D_{\sigma_A}(r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r). \quad (6)$$

Now let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_p$, then $\alpha f + \beta g$ and $f \cdot g$ are Krasner analytic on the $D_{a_i}(r)$. Because A is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic, there exists sequence (A_k) in $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{X}_k)$ a sequence of p -adic Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_k$ of the form $\mathcal{X}_k \simeq \Omega_p(J_k)$, with $J_k \neq \emptyset$, such that $\sigma_{A_k} \neq \emptyset$ is compact, A_k is $\mathcal{X}_k$ -analytic and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B - B_k\|_p = 0$. Then by Theorem 2.1 and (6), we may assume that for all k ,

$$\sigma_{A_k} \subseteq D_{\sigma_A}(r^-) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-). \quad (7)$$

Then by Lemma 1.3, (7) implies that for all k there exist $\emptyset \neq I_k$ such that $I_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ and

$$\sigma_{A_k} \subseteq D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r^-) = \bigcup_{i \in I_k} D_{a_i}(r^-) \text{ and } D_{\sigma_{A_k}}(r) = \bigcup_{i \in I_k} D_{a_i}(r). \quad (8)$$

Then by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 1.21 (Vishik's Spectral Theorem II), (8) implies that if h is any Ω_p -valued function that is Krasner analytic on each of the $D_{a_i}(r)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(A) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} h(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A_k) dx \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \int_{a_{k,i}, \Gamma} h(x)(x - a_{k,i})R(x; A_k) dx. \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h(A_k). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then (9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha f + \beta g)(A) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha f + \beta g)(A_k) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha f(A_k) + \beta g(A_k)) \\ &= \alpha \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(A_k) + \beta \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(A_k) \\ &= \alpha f(A) + \beta g(A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2). By Theorem 1.21, (5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cdot g)(A) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f \cdot g)(A_k) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(A_k)g(A_k) \\ &= f(A)g(A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3). To prove (4), let $h \in B_r(\sigma_p)$. Then by (6), h is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$, $i \in I$. Lemma 1.11 then implies that for each $j \in I$, $\max_{x \in D_{a_j}(r)} |h(x)|_p$ is attained

when $|x - a_j|_p = r$, and hence we may write

$$\rho_j(h) = \max_{x \in D_{a_j}(r)} |h(x)|_p = \max_{|x - a_j|_p = r} |h(x)|_p \text{ and } \|h\|_r = \max_{i \in I} \rho_i(h).$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists a constant $N_r > 0$ such that $\|R(x; A)\|_p \leq N_r$ for all $x \notin D_{\sigma_A}(r^-)$. Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and define $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{rN_r}$. Let $h_0, h \in B_r(\sigma_A)$, with $\|h - h_0\|_r < \delta$. Let $\Gamma \in \Omega_p$, with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. For $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\xi \in \Omega_p$, with $|\xi|_p = 1$, set $x_\xi^i = a_i + \xi\Gamma$; then by (6), $x_\xi^i \notin D_{\sigma_A}(r^-)$, hence by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|h(A) - h_0(A)\|_p \\
&= \|(h - h_0)(A)\|_p \\
&= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} [h(x_\xi^i) - h_0(x_\xi^i)](x_\xi^i - a_i) R(x_\xi^i; A) \right\|_p \\
&= \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid n}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\xi^n=1} [h(x_\xi^i) - h_0(x_\xi^i)](\xi\Gamma) R(x_\xi^i; A) \right\|_p \\
&\leq \|h - h_0\|_r(rN_r) \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{rN_r}(rN_r) = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4). ■

3. p -ADIC UHF AND TUHF BANACH ALGEBRAS

3.1 Definition. A p -adic UHF algebra over Ω_p is a unital p -adic Banach algebra of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_n},$$

where each $(\mathcal{M}_n)$ is an increasing sequence of p -adic Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$, such that each $\mathcal{M}_n$ contains the identity of $\mathcal{A}$ and is algebraically isomorphic as an Ω_p -algebra to $M_{p_n}(\Omega_p)$. A p -adic TUHF algebra over Ω_p is a unital p -adic Banach algebra of the form

$$\mathcal{T} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_n},$$

where each $(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is an increasing sequence of p -adic Banach subalgebras of $\mathcal{T}$, such that each $\mathcal{T}_n$ contains the identity of $\mathcal{T}$ and is algebraically isomorphic as an Ω_p -algebra to $T_{p_n}(\Omega_p)$.

3.2 Lemma. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a p -adic Banach space. with $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Omega_p(J)$, where $J \neq \emptyset$ is a finite set. $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic Banach algebra and assume that there exists an Ω_p -monomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X})$. Then any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{X}$ -analytic.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Because $B(\mathcal{X})$ is finite-dimensional, the results of finite-dimensional spectral theory apply to operators in $B(\mathcal{X})$. Therefore there exist $x_1, \dots, x_N$ in Ω_p such that $\sigma_A = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, where σ_A is the spectrum of A . Moreover, there exist positive integers $\nu(x_1), \dots, \nu(x_N)$ and idempotents $E(x_1), \dots, E(x_N)$ in $B(\mathcal{X})$ such that for $x \notin \sigma_A$,

$$R(x; \theta(A)) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu(x_j)-1} \frac{(\theta(A) - x_j)^\nu}{(x - x_j)^{\nu+1}} E(x_j). \quad (1)$$

For a proof of (1), see [DS]: Theorem 10, p. 560. Now fix $y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$. Then for $x \notin \sigma_A$, (1) implies that

$$h(R(x; \theta(A))y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu(x_j)-1} \frac{h_{j\nu}}{(x - x_j)^{\nu+1}}, \quad (2)$$

where for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq \nu(x_j) - 1$, $h_{j\nu} = h((\theta(A) - x_j)^\nu E(x_j)y)$. Now, each of the functions $\frac{h_{j\nu}}{(x - x_j)^{\nu+1}}$ in (2) is clearly in $H_0(\overline{\sigma}_{\theta(A)})$, hence (2) implies that the function $R_{h,y}(x) = h(R(x; \theta(A))y)$ belongs to $H_0(\overline{\sigma}_{\theta(A)})$. Because $y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h \in \mathcal{X}^*$ are arbitrary, we see that $\theta(A)$ is analytic, and hence A is $\mathcal{X}$ -analytic. ■

3.3 Spectral Theorem for p -adic UHF and TUHF Algebras. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic UHF or a p -adic TUHF Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then A is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic with spectrum $\sigma_A \neq \emptyset$. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\sigma_A)$. Let $0 < r$ be in $|\Omega_p|_p$, and let Γ be in Ω_p , with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in Ω_p are given, with

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint and f is Krasner analytic on each $D_{a_i}(r)$. By Definition 2.7, define

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{a_i, \Gamma} f(x)(x - a_i)R(x; A) dx.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_p$. Then $\alpha f + \beta g, f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, and

- (2) $(\alpha f + \beta g)(A) = \alpha f(A) + \beta g(A)$;
- (3) $(f \cdot g)(A) = f(A)g(A)$;
- (4) The mapping $h \mapsto h(A)$ is continuous on $B_r(\sigma_A)$.

Proof. $\mathcal{A}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n},$$

where for each n , there exists a finite nonempty set J_n and an Ω_p -monomorphism $\theta_n : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{X}_n)$, with $\mathcal{X}_n \simeq \Omega_p(J_n)$; because $B(\mathcal{X}_n)$ is finite-dimensional, θ_n is bicontinuous.

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that for all n , the members of $\mathcal{A}_n$ are $\mathcal{X}_n$ -analytic. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a sequence (A_n) in

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$$

such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A - A_n\|_p = 0$, therefore, by Definition 1.19, A is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic. Properties (2)-(4) are then consequences of applying Theorem 2.10 to A . ■

3.4 Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a p -adic UHF or a p -adic TUHF Banach algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then A is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic with spectrum σ_A . Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Let $0 < r$ be in $|\Omega_p|_p$, and let Γ be in Ω_p , with $|\Gamma|_p = r$. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in Ω_p are given, with*

$$\sigma_A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r^-), \quad (1)$$

where the $D_{a_i}(r)$ are disjoint and f is Krasner analytic on the $D_{a_i}(r)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{A}$, with $\|A - B\|_p < \delta$, then

$$\sigma_B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N D_{a_i}(r),$$

$f \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ and $\|f(A) - f(B)\|_p < \epsilon$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3.3 it was demonstrated that every member of $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -analytic. Therefore the present lemma follows from an application of Lemma 2.8. ■

As mentioned in the introduction of the present article, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 can be used to transfer, *mutatis mutandis*, the results of [B1] to p -adic TUHF algebras, which is the content of [B2].

REFERENCES

- [B1]. R. L. Baker, *On Certain Banach Limits of Triangular Matrix Algebras*, Houston J. Math., **23**, No.1, (1997), 127-141.
- [B2]. R. L. Baker, *The Classification of p -adic TUHF Banach Algebras*, preprint in preparation.
- [DS]. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators Part I*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York.
- [K]. N. Koblitz, *p -adic Analysis: a Short Course on Recent Work*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 46, Cambridge University Press.
- [NBB]. L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, and G. Bachman, *Functional Analysis and Valuation Theory*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1971.

Department of Mathematics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242
E-mail address: **baker@math.uiowa.edu**